

Law of Tort (LAW3004M)

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Giliker P. Tort [Internet]. Fifth edition. Vol. Sweet&Maxwell's textbook series. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2014. Available from:
<http://proxy.library.lincoln.ac.uk/login?url=http://www.dawsonera.com/depp/reader/protected/external/AbstractView/S9780414033023>
 2.

Horsey K, Rackley E. Tort law. Fourth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.
 3.

Murphy J. The law of nuisance. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.
 4.

Carty H. An analysis of the economic torts. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2010.
 5.

Rose FD, editor. Blackstone's statutes on contract, tort & restitution, 2014-2015. 25th edition. Vol. Blackstone's statutes series. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.
 6.

Witting CA, Murphy J. Street on torts. Fourteenth edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.

7.

Steele J. Tort law: text, cases, and materials. Third edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2014.

8.

Kidner R. Casebook on torts. 12th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

9.

Pawlowska C. Close connections: redefining the boundaries of vicarious liability. Solicitors' Journal [Internet]. 2014;158(11):18-21. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/solicjo191&i=464>

10.

Nolan, Donal. Damage in the English Law of Negligence. Journal of European Tort Law [Internet]. 2013;4(3):259-81. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/jetl4&i=271>

11.

Robertson, Andrew. On the Function of the Law of Negligence. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2013;33(1):31-57.

12.

Witting, Christian. Duty of Care: An Analytical Approach. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2005;25(1):33-63.

13.

Bailey S. Public authority liability in negligence: the continued search for coherence. Legal Studies [Internet]. 2006;26(2):155-84. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/legstd26&i=165>

14.

Mulheron, Rachael. The 'Primary Victim' in Psychiatric Illness Claims: Reworking the 'Patchwork Quilt.'. *King's Law Journal*. 2008;19(1):81-112.

15.

Giliker P. Revisiting Pure Economic Loss; Lessons to be Learnt from the Supreme Court of Canada. *Legal Studies* [Internet]. 2005;25:49-71. Available from: <http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd25&collection=journals&id=55>

16.

Mulheron, Rachael. Trumping Bolam: A Critical Legal Analysis of Bolitho's 'Gloss'. *Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. 2010;69(3):609-38. Available from: <http://proxy.library.lincoln.ac.uk/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=a9h&AN=55232172&site=ehost-live>

17.

Nolan, Donal. Varying the Standard of Care in Negligence. *Cambridge Law Journal* [Internet]. 2013;72(3):651-88. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/camblj72&i=671>

18.

Jaffer AJE. Volenti Non Fit Injuria. *Cambridge Law Journal*. 1985;44(1):87-110.

19.

Newdick C. The Development Risks Defence of the Consumer Protection Act 1987. *The Cambridge Law Journal*. 1988;47(3):455-76.

20.

Trindale FA. Intentional Torts: Some Thoughts on Assault and Battery. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* [Internet]. 1982;2(2):211-37. Available from: <http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/oxfjls2&size=2&id=219>

21.

Gibbons T. Defamation Reconsidered. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies [Internet]. 1996;16(4):587–616. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/oxfjls16&i=601>

22.

Wightman, John¹. Nuisance — the Environmental Tort? Hunter v Canary Wharf in the House of Lords. Modern Law Review. 1998;61(6).

23.

Murphy J. The Nature and Domain of Aggravated Damages. Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2010;69(2):353–77. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/camblj69&i=357>

24.

Mitchell C, Mitchell P. Alcock v Chief Constable of South Yorkshire Police (1991). In: Landmark cases in the law of tort. Oxford: Hart Publishing; 2010. p. 273–309.

25.

Sheldon S. 'A responsible body of medical men skilled in that particular art...': rethinking the Bolam test. In: Feminist perspectives on health care law. London: Cavendish; 1998. p. 15–32.

26.

Giliker P. Osman and Police Immunity in the English Law of Torts. Legal Studies [Internet]. 2000;20(3):372–92. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/legstd20&i=374>

27.

Stapleton J. Duty of Care and Economic Loss: A Wider Agenda. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1991;107(April):249–97. Available from:

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD1DF301E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html>

28.

Payne D. The Occupiers' Liability Act. Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1958;21(4):359-74. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/modlr21&i=371>

29.

Payne D. Notes of cases: Paying for the crimes of others. Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1984;47(2):223-8. Available from: <https://heinonline.org/HOL/P?h=hein.journals/modlr47&i=243>

30.

Gearty C. The Place of Private Nuisance in a Modern Law of Torts. Cambridge Law Journal. 1989;48(2):214-301.

31.

Collins M. Reflections on the Defamation Act 2013, one year after Royal Assent [Internet]. Inform's blog. 2014. Available from: <https://inform.wordpress.com/2014/04/25/reflections-on-the-defamation-act-2013-one-year-after-royal-assent-matthew-collins/>